

March 1999

doc.: IEEE 802.11-99/082

Linkage of RF Generation and Time-base Clocking

Jamshid Khun-Jush
Ericsson Eurolab - Nürnberg
Co-ordinator of HIPERLAN-2 Standard Area &
Chair of Physical Layer Technical Specification Group

Submission

Slide 1

Jamshid Khun-Jush, Ericsson

March 1999

doc.: IEEE 802.11-99/082

Non-linked Sources

- Worst case drift between carrier frequency source and timing clock source
 - 40 ppm
 - Tolerable phase shift for 16QAM
 - $(4 \cdot 17^\circ + 8 \cdot 22,5^\circ + 4 \cdot 45^\circ) / 16 = 26.75^\circ$
 - Tolerable time shift
 - Let the numbering of subcarriers be from -32 to 31
 - A sampling time shift (50 ns) corresponds to $26/32 \cdot 180^\circ$ phase shift
 - 26.75° tolerable phase shift results in a tolerable time shift $(50\text{ns} \cdot 26.75^\circ \cdot 32) / (26 \cdot 180^\circ) = 9.15 \text{ ns}$
 - Maximum burst length
 - $9.15\text{ns}/40\text{ppm} = 229 \text{ us}$ corresponding to 57 OFDM symbol
- ⇒ It is too short and necessitates the use a (very) fast closed loop for tracking of symbol timing.**

Submission

Slide 2

Jamshid Khun-Jush, Ericsson

March 1999

doc.: IEEE 802.11-99/082

Linked Source

- Assuming a frequency acquisition accuracy in the order 2% of sub-carrier spacing
 - With a max. frequency error $20\text{ppm} \cdot 5\text{GHz}$, the $2\% \cdot (20\text{MHz}/64)$ frequency error after fine frequency acquisition corresponds to
 - ⇒ **1.25 ppm frequency error which allows a much longer max. burst length and makes the use of a very slow closed loop for tracking of symbol timing possible**
- ⇒ **Conclusion: The linkage of clocks enables a reduced complexity receiver implementation**

Submission

Slide 3

Jamshid Khun-Jush, Ericsson